

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,849	01/04/2001	Edward R. Harrison	INTL-0528-US (P10832)	2696	
7	590 07/27/2005		EXAMI	NER	
Timothy N. Trop,			VU, THANH T		
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77024-1805			2174 '		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005	i	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		اروا						
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/754,84	9	HARRISON ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thanh T. \		2174				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - External representation of the control of the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state tod will apply and wi tute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 April 2005.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) 🖾 T	his action is n	on-final.					
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-29,31,33 and 34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 30 and 32 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	=			d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice 3) Information	se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		, \			
0.0-117	redemark Office				- / '/			

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to amendment, filed 04/27/2005.

Claims 16-34 are pending in this application. In the amendment, claim 34 was added, and claims were 16, 21, and 26 were amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16, 21, 26, 29, 31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Microsoft Internet Explorer and Dow et al. ("Dow" U.S. Pat. No 6,896,518).

Per claim 16, MS Internet Explorer teaches a method comprising:

generating a graphical user interface for the display of a processor-based system, said interface to include at least two bars (fig. 4; bars: 202 and 203);

displaying one of said bars in response to a user selection of the bar (figs. 2-4; Address Bar: 201 and 202); and

automatically, transiently displaying the other bar only for so long as the information included on said other bar is valid (figs. 4 and 5; status bar 203). MS Internet Explorer does not specifically teach replacing said other bar with a user selection bar after said information included on said

Art Unit: 2174

other bar is no longer valid. However, Dow teaches automatically, transiently displaying the other bar only for so long as the information included on said other bar is valid fig. 10; col. 10, lines 8-21 and replacing said other bar with a user selection bar after said information included on said other bar is no longer valid (fig. 10; col. 10, lines 8-21 and lines 54-57; After a page transfer is completed, the progress animation is terminated and returns back previous view). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the teaching of Dow in the invention of MS Internet Explorer visual cues are provided to apprise the user of the status of the page being loaded.

Claim 21 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 16.

Claim 26 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 16.

Per claim 29, MS Internet Explorer teaches the method of claim 16, wherein displaying one of said bars comprises replacing a user selection bar with the one of said bars (address selection bar 201 of fig. 2 is being replaced with address bar 202 of fig. 4).

Claim 31 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 29.

Claim 33 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 29.

Per claim 34, MS Internet Explorer and Dow teach the method of claim 16 wherein replacing said other bar with said user selection bar includes replacing said other bar with the user selection bar used to select said one of said bar (MS Internet explorer: user selection bar 202; Dow: fig. 10; col. 10, lines 8-21 and lines 54-57; After a page transfer is completed, the progress animation is terminated and returns back previous view).

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 17-20, 22-25, and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MS Internet Explorer, Dow et al. ("Dow" U.S. Pat. No 6,896,518) and Sigl (U.S. Pat. No. 6,714,220).

Per claim 17, the modified MS Internet Explorer teaches the method of claim 16 including, in response to the selection of a display feature that necessitates the entry of textual data, automatically displaying a text entry area (figs. 2-4; data entry area: 202), but does not teach in response to the selection of a display feature that necessitates the entry of textual data, automatically displaying a text entry area and a keyboard image. However, Sigl teaches in response to the selection of a display feature that necessitates the entry of textual data, automatically displaying a text entry area and a keyboard image (figs 1 and 2; col. 4, lines 10-14 and lines 21-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include automatically displaying a text entry area and a keyboard image as taught by Sigl in the invention of the modified MS Internet Explorer in order to provide only a relevant set of virtual keyboard keys that is created dynamically based on required user's input data.

Per claim 18, Sigl teaches the method of claim 17, including removing said keyboard image and said text entry area in response to the user selection of a desired text entry (figs. 1 and 2; col. 3, lines 1-9).

Per claim 19, MS Internet Explorer teaches the method of claim 18 including, when said text entry is a selection of a web page, automatically displaying the other bar indicating that the web page is being loaded, the other bar comprising a load status bar. (fig. 4; web page selection 202; web page loading indicator 203).

Art Unit: 2174

Per claim 20, MS Internet Explorer teaches the method of claim 19 including automatically removing said loading bar when said web page has completed loading (fig. 5; web page loading indicator 203).

Claims 22-25 are rejected under the same rationale as claims 17-20 respectively.

Per claim 27, the modified MS Internet Explorer teaches the system of claim 26, but does not teach said system is a portable system. However, Sigl teaches a system is a portable system (col. 2, line 33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a portable system as taught by Sigl in the invention of the modified MS Internet Explorer in order to provides users to access to information from anywhere the users want.

Claim 28 is rejected under the same rationale as claims 17.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 30 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the amendment have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh T. Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4073. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and every other Fri 8:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2174

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T. Vu

Bustine Kincaid
KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY FATTAL EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTLE 2100

Page 6